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Program Evaluator Report

**INSTRUCTIONS**

*The Evaluator Program Report is* ***required*** *for each program being evaluated. It is to be completed by the Program Evaluator during the review and left with the Team Chair.* ***Some technical societies require their evaluators to submit additional information. It is the responsibility of the evaluator to determine and meet this requirement.***

For a General Review, all of the forms in the Program Report should be completed and submitted to the Team Chair at the conclusion of the review. Some forms may be extracted from the Program Self-Study Reports.

*For an Interim Review, only the part of the Program Report relevant to the identified shortcomings needs to be completed.*

*The PEV Transcript and Curriculum Analysis Review Form, the first column of the Program Evaluator Report, and the first column of the Program Audit Form should be completed by the Program Evaluator before the review, with a copy of each submitted to the Team Chair at the first meeting of the team and modified during the review as required.*

*Each Program Report will also become an important part of the Team Chair Draft Statement which is prepared by the Team Chair and uploaded in the AMS.*

*The* ***Program Evaluator Report****,* ***Program Audit Form, Recommended Accreditation Action and Proposed Statement to the Institution*** *are of particular importance****.*** *Together, these form a basis from which the Team Chair will draft the Statement to the Institution. The* ***Program Audit*** *is made available to the institution in the AMS after the exit meeting. Please, pay close attention to the instructions on these forms.*

For the most current version of the form, please check the ABET website: <https://www.abet.org>.

ABET

Applied and Natural Science Accreditation Commission

**PROGRAM REPORT FOR 2025-2026 REVIEWS**

**(Please Type or Print Legibly in Black Ink.)**

Evaluation of Program in Click here to enter text.

(Title of Program)

At Click here to enter text.

 (Official Name of the Institution)

Dates of Review: Click here to enter text.

Evaluated by: Click here to enter text.

 (Name and Address)

Office Home

Phone: Click here to enter text. Phone: Click here to enter text.

Email: Click here to enter text. Fax: Click here to enter text.

Society Represented by Evaluator Click here to enter text.

Evaluation conducted in accordance with ABET ANSAC General Criteria, and program criteria for program Click here to enter text. (Discipline of study.)

1. LIST OF PERSONS INTERVIEWED

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **NAME** | **POSITION** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

ABET-ANSAC PEV Transcript and Curriculum Analysis Review Form

1. **Bachelor’s degree Transcripts of Graduates from the Evaluated Baccalaureate Program.** (**Instructions:** PEV enters in first column the courses required in the institution’s program curriculum. Then, based on review of the transcript, enter the number of credits in the applicable cell reflecting completed required courses.)

Check One: [ ]  Semester Credits [ ]  Quarter Credits [ ]  Other (Explain Click here to enter text.)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Courses Required for the Evaluated Program** | **Transcript No.** |
| **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** | **6** | **7** | **8** | **9** | **10** |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Matches Published Curriculum?****(Y/N/?)** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Comments **(An answer of “No” or “?” for the last row requires a comment/explanation)**:

**2.** **Bachelor’s degree Transcripts of Applicants to Evaluated Master’s degree Program.** (**Instructions:** PEV enters in the first column the content areas cited in the program criteria. Then, based on review of the transcript, enter the number of credits (or place a check when appropriate) in the applicable cell reflecting completed content for each required foundation
subject area.)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Credits of Completed Content for Each Required Foundation Subject**Area** | **Transcript No.** |
| **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** | **6** | **7** | **8** | **9** | **10** |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Meets Program Admission Criteria (i.e., GPA, foundation courses, etc.)?** **(Y/N/?)** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Comments **(An answer of “No” or “?” for the last row requires a comment/explanation)**:

**3. Master’s Degree Transcripts of Graduates from the Evaluated Master-level Program.** (**Instructions:** PEV enters in first column the courses required in the institution’s program curriculum. Then, based on review of the transcript, enter the number of credits in the applicable cell reflecting completed required courses.)

Check One: [ ]  Semester Credits [ ]  Quarter Credits [ ]  (Explain Click here to enter text.)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Courses Required for the Evaluated Program** | **Transcript No.** |
| **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** | **6** | **7** | **8** | **9** | **10** |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Matches Published Curriculum?** **(Y/N/?)** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Comments **(An answer of “No” or “?” for the last row requires a comment/explanation)**:

**4. Program Title on Transcripts for the Graduates of the Evaluated Program**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Transcript No. | Does the program title on the graduate’s transcript match the institution’s program title (Y/N)? | If not, what is the institution’s program title shown On the transcripts? |
| 1 |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |

Comments:

**RECOMMENDED ACCREDITATION ACTION FORM**

\_\_\_ NGR This action indicates that the program has no Deficiencies or Weaknesses. This action is taken only after a Comprehensive General Review and has a typical duration of six years.

\_\_\_ RE This action indicates that satisfactory remedial action has been taken by the institution with respect to Weaknesses identified in the prior IR action. This action is taken only after an IR review. This action extends accreditation to the next General Review and has a typical duration of either two or four years.

\_\_\_ VE This action indicates that satisfactory remedial action has been taken by the institution with respect to Weaknesses identified in the prior IV action. This action is taken only after an IV review. This action extends accreditation to the next General Review and has a typical duration of either two or four years.

\_\_\_ SE This action indicates that satisfactory remedial action has been taken by the institution with respect to all Deficiencies and Weaknesses identified in the prior SC action. This action is taken only after either a SCR or SCV review. This action typically extends accreditation to the next General Review and has a typical duration of either two or four years.

\_\_\_ IR This action indicates that the program has no Deficiencies but has one or more Weaknesses. The Weaknesses are such that a progress report will be required to evaluate the remedial actions taken by the institution. This action has a typical duration of two years.

\_\_\_ IV This action indicates that the program has no Deficiencies but has one or more Weaknesses. The Weaknesses are such that an on-site review will be required to evaluate the remedial actions taken by the institution. This action has a typical duration of two years.

\_\_\_ SCR This action indicates that a currently accredited program has one or more Deficiencies. The Deficiencies are such that a progress report will be required to evaluate the remedial actions taken by the institution. This action has a typical duration of two years. This action cannot follow a previous SC action for the same Deficiency(s).

\_\_\_ SCV This action indicates that a currently accredited program has one or more Deficiencies. The Deficiencies are such that an on-site review will be required to evaluate the remedial actions taken by the institution. This action has a typical duration of two years. This action cannot follow a previous SC action for the same Deficiency(s).

\_\_\_ NA This action indicates that the program has Deficiencies such that the program is not in compliance with the applicable criteria. This action is usually taken only after an SCR or SCV review, or the review of a previously unaccredited program. Accreditation is not extended as a result of this action.

If this is a new program, indicate the date at which accreditation is to begin.

Normally accreditation applies to all students who graduated after October 1 of the year preceding the on-site review (see section I.E.6 of the Accreditation Policy and Procedures Manual). Click here to enter text.

For reference by the PEV, the AS and BS degree student outcomes (Criterion 3) are listed here.

**Associate Degree Programs:**

Student outcomes must include, but are not limited to

(1) ability to identify, formulate, and solve broadly defined technical or scientific problems by applying knowledge of mathematics and science and/or technical topics to areas relevant to the discipline

(2a) ability to conduct experiments or test theories

(2b) ability to analyze and interpret data

(3) ability to function on teams

(4) understanding of professional and ethical responsibility

(5) ability to communicate effectively

**Baccalaureate Degree Programs:**

Student outcomes must include, but are not limited to

(1) ability to identify, formulate, and solve broadly defined technical or scientific problems by applying knowledge of mathematics and science and/or technical topics to areas relevant to the discipline

(2) ability to formulate or design a system, process, procedure or program to meet desired needs

(3a) ability to develop and conduct experiments or test hypotheses

(3b) ability to analyze and interpret data and use scientific judgment to draw conclusions

(4) ability to communicate effectively with a range of audiences

(5) ability to understand ethical and professional responsibilities and the impact of technical and/or scientific solutions in global, economic, environmental, and societal contexts

(6) ability to function effectively on teams that establish goals, plan tasks, meet deadlines, and analyze risk and uncertainty

**PROGRAM EVALUATOR WORKSHEET**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Use “C” for concern, “W” for weakness, and “D” for deficiency in the appropriate line.** | Pre-reviewEstimate | Day 0 | Day 1 | Exit Statement |
| **IF THE PROGRAM HAS NO DEFICIENCIES OR WEAKNESSES,****CHECK THIS LINE AT EACH APPROPRIATE TIME** |  |  |  |  |
| **1. STUDENTS** |  |  |  |  |
| Program evaluates student performance  |  |  |  |  |
| Program monitors students to determine success in attaining student outcomes |  |  |  |  |
| Program advises students regarding curriculum and career matters |  |  |  |  |
| Program has policies for acceptance of new and transfer students in place and enforced |  |  |  |  |
| Program has process in place for awarding academic credit for work in lieu of courses taken at the institution |  |  |  |  |
| Program has process in place to ensure all students meet all graduation requirements |  |  |  |  |
| **2. PROGRAM EDUCATIONAL OBJECTIVES (PEOs)** |  |  |  |  |
| Program PEOs conform to PEO definition |  |  |  |  |
| Program has published PEOs in place that are consistent with mission of the institution and ANSAC criteria |  |  |  |  |
| Program has a documented, systematically utilized, and effective process for periodic review of PEOs  |  |  |  |  |
| Periodic review of PEOs involves all program constituencies  |  |  |  |  |
| **3. STUDENT OUTCOMES** |  |  |  |  |
| Program has documented student outcomes that cover all aspects of ANSAC SOs 1-6 and meet the ABET definition of SOs |  |  |  |  |
| Program has documented and effective process in place for periodic review and revision of student outcomes |  |  |  |  |
| **4. CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT** |  |  |  |  |
| Assessment processes used regularly |  |  |  |  |
| Assessment processes appropriate |  |  |  |  |
| Assessment processes documented |  |  |  |  |
| Effective assessment processes employed |  |  |  |  |
| Effective evaluation processes employed |  |  |  |  |
| * All data associated with an outcome considered when evaluating extent of attainment
 |  |  |  |  |
| Results of evaluations systematically utilized as input for the continuous improvement of the program |  |  |  |  |
| 5. CURRICULUM |  |  |  |  |
| Curriculum devotes adequate attention and time to each component |  |  |  |  |
| Curriculum includes  |  |  |  |  |
| * college-level math and sciences (some with laboratory and/or experimental experience) appropriate to the discipline
 |  |  |  |  |
| * advanced technical and/or science topics appropriate to the program
 |  |  |  |  |
| * a general education component that complements the technical and scientific content and is consistent with program and institution objectives
 |  |  |  |  |
| * a culminating project or experience based on the cumulative knowledge and skills acquired in the program (baccalaureate program only)
 |  |  |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **6. FACULTY** |  |  |  |  |
| Faculty members have necessary expertise and educational backgrounds  |  |  |  |  |
| Faculty have sufficient breadth and depth to cover all curricular areas |  |  |  |  |
| Faculty competence is demonstrated by professional credentials, certifications, experience and professional development |  |  |  |  |
| Sufficient number of faculty to maintain continuity, stability, oversight, student interaction, and advising  |  |  |  |  |
| Faculty members have sufficient responsibility and authority to improve the program |  |  |  |  |
| **7. FACILITIES** |  |  |  |  |
| Classrooms, offices, labs and associated equipment adequate to support attainment of student outcomes |  |  |  |  |
| Modern tools, equipment, computing resources, and labs appropriate to the program are available, and systematically maintained and upgraded to enable students to attain student outcomes and support program needs |  |  |  |  |
| Students provided guidance regarding the use of tools, equipment, computing resources, and laboratories available to the program |  |  |  |  |
| Library services and computing and information infrastructure adequate to support scholarly and professional activities of students and faculty |  |  |  |  |
| **8. INSTITUTIONAL SUPPORT** |  |  |  |  |
| Institutional support, resources and leadership sufficient to  |  |  |  |  |
| * ensure quality and continuity of the program
 |  |  |  |  |
| * attract, retain, and provide for the continued professional development of qualified faculty
 |  |  |  |  |
| * acquire, maintain, and operate infrastructures, facilities and equipment
 |  |  |  |  |
| * create and foster respectful environment among students/faculty/staff/administrators to facilitate attainment of SOs
 |  |  |  |  |
| **Program Criteria** |  |  |  |  |
| Program satisfies applicable Program Criteria |  |  |  |  |
| **Accreditation Policies and Procedures** |  |  |  |  |
| 1.A.4. Accreditation status is represented accurately and unambiguously |  |  |  |  |
| 1.A.6.a/b Accreditation statement, PEOs, and SOs are properly published (including link from name “ABET” to the ABET website) |  |  |  |  |
| 1.C.2.a/b Transcripts must be complete and accurate |  |  |  |  |
| 1.C.4. Program name, and its usage, must meet ABET requirements  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.D.1.g. Documents and correspondence in English |  |  |  |  |
| 1.E.1. All paths to completion of degree program satisfy appropriate criteria |  |  |  |  |
| 1.E.5.a Team able to interview appropriate people during visit |  |  |  |  |
| 1.E.5.b.(1) Facilities adequate and safe for intended purposes |  |  |  |  |
| 1.E.5.b.(2) Review materials are sufficient to demonstrate that the program is in compliance with the applicable criteria and policies  |  |  |  |  |

**PROGRAM EVALUATOR WORKSHEET**

**For each Deficiency (D), Weakness (W) and/or Concern (C) shown on the preceding program evaluator worksheet, please summarize the basis for your conclusion in the appropriate box.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **1. STUDENTS** |  |
| Program evaluates student performance  |  |
| Program monitors students to determine success in attaining student outcomes  |  |
| Program advises students regarding curriculum and career matters |  |
| Program has policies for acceptance of new and transfer students in place and enforced |  |
| Program has process in place for awarding academic credit for work in lieu of courses taken at the institution |  |
| Program has process in place to ensure all students meet all graduation requirements |  |
| **2. PROGRAM EDUCATIONAL OBJECTIVES** |  |
| Program PEOs conform to PEO definition |  |
| Program has published program educational objectives in place that are consistent with mission of the institution and ANSAC criteria |  |
| Program has a documented, systematically utilized, and effective process involving program constituencies for periodic review of PEOs |  |
| Periodic review of PEOs involves all program constituencies  |  |
| **3. STUDENT OUTCOMES** |  |
| Program has documented student outcomes that cover all aspects of ANSAC SOs 1-6 and meet the ABET definition of SOs |  |
| Program has documented and effective process in place for periodic review and revision of student outcomes |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **4. CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT** |  |
| Assessment processes used regularly |  |
| Assessment processes appropriate |  |
| Assessment processes documented |  |
| Effective assessment processes employed |  |
| Effective evaluation processes employed |  |
| * All data associated with an outcome considered when evaluating extent of attainment
 |  |
| Results of evaluations systematically utilized as input for the continuous improvement of the program |  |
| 1. **CURRICULUM**
 |  |
| Curriculum devotes adequate attention and time to each component |  |
| Curriculum includes  |  |
| * college-level math and sciences (some with laboratory and/or experimental experience) appropriate to the discipline
 |  |
| * advanced technical and/or science topics appropriate to the program
 |  |
| * a general education component that complements the technical and scientific content and is consistent with program and institution objectives
 |  |
| * a culminating project or experience based on the cumulative knowledge and skills acquired in the program (baccalaureate program only)
 |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **6. FACULTY** |  |
| Faculty members have necessary expertise and educational backgrounds  |  |
| Faculty have sufficient breadth and depth to cover all curricular areas |  |
| Faculty competence is demonstrated by professional credentials, certifications, experience and professional development |  |
| Sufficient number of faculty to maintain continuity, stability, oversight, student interaction, and advising  |  |
| Faculty members have sufficient responsibility and authority to improve the program |  |
| **7. FACILITIES** |  |
| Classrooms, offices, laboratories and associated equipment adequate to attain student outcomes |  |
| Modern tools, equipment, computing resources, and laboratories appropriate to the program are available, and systematically maintained and upgraded to enable students to attain SOs and support program needs |  |
| Students provided guidance regarding the use of tools, equipment, computing resources, and laboratories available to the program |  |
| Library services and computing and information infrastructure adequate to support scholarly and professional activities of students and faculty |  |
| **8. INSTITUTIONAL SUPPORT**  |  |
| Institutional support, resources and leadership sufficient to  |  |
| * ensure quality and continuity of the program
 |  |
| * attract, retain, and provide for the continued professional development of qualified faculty
 |  |
| * acquire, maintain, and operate infrastructures, facilities and equipment
 |  |
| * create and foster respectful environment among students/faculty/staff/administrators to facilitate attainment of SOs
 |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **PROGRAM CRITERIA** |  |
| Program satisfies applicable Program Criteria |  |
| **ACCREDITATION POLICIES AND PROCEDURES** |  |
| Program satisfies applicable APPM Requirements |  |
| 1.A.4. Accreditation status is represented accurately and unambiguously |  |
| 1.A.6.a/b Accreditation statement, PEOs, and SOs are properly published (including link from name “ABET” to the ABET website) |  |
| 1.C.2.a/b Transcripts must be complete and accurate |  |
| 1.C.4. Program name, and its usage, must meet ABET requirements  |  |
| 1.D.1.g. Documents and correspondence in English |  |
| 1.E.1. All paths to completion of degree program satisfy appropriate criteria |  |
| 1.E.5.a Team able to interview appropriate people during visit |  |
| 1.E.5.b.(1) Facilities adequate and safe for intended purposes |  |

**EXIT STATEMENT TO THE INSTITUTION**

INSTRUCTIONS (NOT to be read at exit meeting)

This statement should include the Program Evaluator’s findings relative to the applicable General Criteria, Program Criteria, and Accreditation Policy and Procedure Manual (APPM). The general format for the statement should be as follows: 1) General Description of the Program, *[2) Strengths]*, 3) Shortcomings and 4) Observations.

The General Description of the Program normally includes information about the program’s administrative location at the institution, its enrollment and faculty size, and the number of recent graduates.

*[Each program strength should have three components: a) the observed facts that represent the strength, b) what makes it stand out above the norm, and c) what positive effect it has on the program.]*

The Shortcomings sections should be in order of 1) Deficiencies, 2) Weaknesses and 3) Concerns, and a section should exist only if one or more Criteria or APPM elements have that type of shortcoming.

Please ensure that any shortcoming relates directly to the Criteria or APPM. Each shortcoming should have three components: a) the applicable part of the criterion, using the exact language from the Criteria or APPM where possible, b) the observed facts that are inconsistent or potentially inconsistent with the stated criterion or APPM element, and c) the negative impact on the program of the inconsistencies or potential inconsistencies. It is essential that all deficiencies and/or weaknesses identified on the Program Audit Form, which could lead to an action different than NGR, be discussed in this statement exactly as they are discussed in the Program Audit Form.

*[To save time during the Exit Meeting, the Team Chair may read the citations for any shortcomings common to all the programs that were evaluated, first explaining that they were common to all programs. However, the shortcoming will be cited in each program section in the Draft and Final Statements, as applicable.]*

Observations do not relate to findings relative to the Criteria or APPM. They may include suggestions based on the Program Evaluator’s experience and are provided in the interest of general program improvement. They must not appear prescriptive and have no consequence relative to accreditation if ignored by the institution.

PROGRAM EXIT STATEMENT

**(**TO BE READ AT EXIT MEETING – DO NOT LEAVE A COPY WITH THE INSTITUTION)